

EXHIBIT A



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 07, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Annesley H DeGaris
DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
Two North Twentieth Street, Suite 1030
Birmingham, AL 35203
adegaris@degarislaw.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Holcomb, April v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04429-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Holcomb_April_017-cv-04429_1_Holcomb_April_017-cv-04429_1"

Holcomb_April_017-
cv-
04429_1_Holcomb_Ap
ril_017-cv-04429_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Holcomb
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - April
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-04429
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - DeGaris & Rogers
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - adegaris@degarislaw.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

March 13, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Behram Parekh
Kirtland & Packard LLP
1638 South Pacific Coast Highway
Redondo Beach, CA 90277
bvp@kirtlandpackard.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*.
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Pew, Richard v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04896-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Pew_Richard_-cv-_1_Pew_Richard_-cv-_1"

Pew_Richard_-cv-
_1_Pew_Richard_-cv-
_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Pew
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Richard W
CASE NO. - no answer provided
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02, 15
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/Written STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kirtland Packard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - bvp@kirtlandpackard.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

March 12, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Behram Parekh
Kirtland & Packard LLP
1638 South Pacific Coast Highway
Redondo Beach, CA 90277
bvp@kirtlandpackard.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Cheney, Jay v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04984-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Cheney_Jay_-cv-_1_Cheney_Jay_-cv-_1"

Cheney_Jay_-cv-
_1_Cheney_Jay_-cv-_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Cheney
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Jay
CASE NO. - no answer provided
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 02
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kirtland Packard
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - bvp@kirtlandpackard.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

March 15, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Christopher Coffin
Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
24110 Eden St. P.O. Drawer 71
Plaquemine, LA 70765
www.pbclawfirm.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Spry, John et al v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05199-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Spry_John_017-cv-05199_1_Spry_John_017-cv-05199_1"

Spry_John_017-cv-
05199_1_Spry_John
_017-cv-05199_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Spry
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - John R
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-05199
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Pendley, Baudin & Coffin LLP
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sshirey@pbclawfirm.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

March 19, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Christopher Coffin
Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
24110 Eden St.P.O. Drawer 71
Plaquemine, LA 70765
www.pbclawfirm.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Plaintiff Fact Sheet for Individual Case
Reed, Tommy et al v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-05202-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The PFS has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, verified PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Reed_Tommy_017-cv-05202_1_Reed_Tommy_017-cv-05202_1"

Reed_Tommy_017-
cv-05202_1
_Reed_Tommy_017-
cv-05202_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Reed
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Tommy
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-05202
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Pendley, Baudin & Coffin LLP
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sshirey@pbclawfirm.com

EXHIBIT B



February 06, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg@kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Reinker Case No.:17-v-02638-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Reinker_Susan_17-cv-2638_3_Reinker_Susan_17-cv-2638_3"

Reinker_Susan_17-cv-
2638_3_Reinker_Susa
n_17-cv-2638_3

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Reinker
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Susan
CASE NO. - 17-cv-2638
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 06, 08, 10
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 14, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

David W. Hodges
Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
4409 Montrose Blvd, Ste 200
Houston, TX, 77006
mtg@kennedyhodges.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Moore v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-02901-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Moore_Mike_17-cv-2901_1_Moore_Mike_17-cv-2901_1"

Moore_Mike_17-cv-
2901_1_Moore_Mike_1
7-cv-2901_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Moore
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Mike
CASE NO. - 17-cv-2901
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - mtg@kennedyhodges.com



February 14, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Finney v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-03049-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Finney_Gwendolyn_017-cv-3049_1_Finney_Gwendolyn_017-cv-3049_1"

Finney_Gwendolyn_01
7-cv-
3049_1_Finney_Gwend
olyn_017-cv-3049_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Finney
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Gwendolyn K.
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-3049
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 04, 05
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 21, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Holmes, Peter et al v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03255-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Holmes_Peter_017-cv-3255_1_Holmes_Peter_017-cv-3255_1"

Holmes_Peter_017-cv-
3255_1_Holmes_Peter
_017-cv-3255_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Holmes
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Peter A.
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-3255
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 03, 04, 05
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
X.02.D.1 - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION (NON-PFS RESPONSE) - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 21, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Guyton, Sharida v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-03662-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Guyton_Sharida_017-cv-3662_1_Guyton_Sharida_017-cv-3662_1"

Guyton_Sharida_017-
cv-
3662_1_Guyton_Shari
da_017-cv-3662_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Guyton
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Sharida
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-3662
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 03
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



February 28, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Beck, Louis v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04805-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Beck_Louis_017-cv-4805_1_Beck_Louis_017-cv-4805_1"

Beck_Louis_017-cv-
4805_1_Beck_Louis_0
17-cv-4805_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Beck
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Louis A
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-4805
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

February 19, 2018

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Duckworth, Laura v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04825-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Duckworth_Laura_017-cv-4825_1_Duckworth_Laura_017-cv-4825_1"

Duckworth_Laura_017
-cv-
4825_1_Duckworth_La
ura_017-cv-4825_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Duckworth
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Laura A.
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-4825
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) -
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) -
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



February 28, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Scott, Sheree v. 3M Company et al Case No.: 0:17-cv-04880-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Scott_Sheree_017-cv-4880_1_Scott_Sheree_017-cv-4880_1"

Scott_Sheree_017-cv-
4880_1_Scott_Sheree_
017-cv-4880_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Scott
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Sheree
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-4880
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION I - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 04
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION II - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01, 02, 03, 04, 05
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) - Incomplete
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) - Incomplete
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) - Incomplete
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) - Incomplete
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) - Incomplete
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION - Incomplete
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION - Incomplete
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com



March 07, 2018

Benjamin W. Hulse
Direct Dial: 612-343-3256
E-Mail: bhulse@blackwellburke.com

VIA EMAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Seth Webb
Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
211 N. Broadway, Suite 1600
St. Louis, MO 63102
sethw@getbc.com

Re: *In re Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Devices Products Liability Litigation*
MDL No. 2666 – Deficiencies in Amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet
Thyrion, Leslie v. 3M Company et al Case No.:0:17-cv-04892-JNE-FLN

Dear Counsel:

We are in receipt of the second amended Plaintiff Fact Sheet (PFS) for the above-captioned matter. The second amended PFS still has core deficiencies, as set forth in the enclosed report.

Paragraph 4 of Pretrial Order No. 14 defines core deficiencies as “a lack of response to all questions in Section I, Section II, and Section III; Section IV, questions 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10; Section V, questions 5, 6, and 7; Section VI, questions 1, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9; Section VII, questions 1 and 2; Section 8, question 2; Section IX, questions 1, 3, and 4, or lack of signed medical authorizations.” Paragraph 3 further requires a signed verification.

In addition, the instructions on the PFS form prohibit leaving spaces blank and provide as follows: “If a question is not applicable to you, please state ‘Not Applicable’ or ‘N/A.’” In our review, many deficiencies resulted from a plaintiff leaving one or more spaces blank.

Pursuant to paragraph 6 of PTO 14, within 3 weeks of the date of this letter, you must respond in writing by either (1) curing the deficiencies (by serving a further revised, verified PFS through Plaintiffs’ portal); (2) disputing the deficiencies and setting forth the reasons the PFS is not deficient; or (3) explaining why the deficiencies cannot be timely cured. When serving a revised, PFS through Plaintiff’s portal, you do not need to resubmit documents that were previously served with the original and/or any amended PFS. An updated verification is required, however, to be served along with the amended PFS.

Sincerely,

s/ Benjamin W. Hulse

Benjamin W. Hulse

cc: Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel
Enclosure

Title "Thyrion_Leslie_017-cv-4892_1_Thyrion_Leslie_017-cv-4892_1"

Thyrion_Leslie_017-
cv-
4892_1_Thyrion_Leslie
_017-cv-4892_1

PLAINTIFFS' LAST NAME - Thyrion
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST NAME - Leslie A.
CASE NO. - 0:17-cv-4892
SECTION I (CASE INFORMATION) -
SECTION II (PERSONAL INFORMATION) -
SECTION III (SURGERY INFORMATION) - Incomplete
SECTION III - INCOMPLETE QUESTIONS - 01
SECTION IV - 1 (VITAL STATISTICS) -
SECTION IV - 10 (DRUG/ALCOHOL) -
SECTION IV - 3 (HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS) -
SECTION IV - 7 (PHARMACIES/DRUGSTORES) -
SECTION IV - 8 (DENTAL PROCEDURES) -
SECTION IV - 9 (TOBACCO) -
SECTION IX - 1 (CONSORTIUM NAME ETC.) -
SECTION IX - 3 (RESIDENCES) -
SECTION IX - 4 (MARRIED) -
SECTION V - 5 (DISABILITY CLAIMS) -
SECTION V - 6 (LAWSUITS) - Incomplete
SECTION V - 7 (BANKRUPTCY) -
SECTION VI - 1 (PHYSICAL INJURY) -
SECTION VI - 3 (EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) -
SECTION VI - 6 (WARNINGS) -
SECTION VI - 7 (3M/ARIZANT COMMUNICATIONS) -
SECTION VI - 8 (3M/ARIZANT WARRANTY) -
SECTION VI - 9 (AUGUSTINE) -
SECTION VII - 1 (LOST PAST WAGES) -
SECTION VII - 2 (LOST FUTURE WAGES) -
SECTION VIII - 2 (VERBAL/WRITTEN STATEMENT) -
X.01 - SIGNED AUTHORIZATION -
X.02.D - DOCUMENTS - SIGNED VERIFICATION -
I.03.B - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S FIRM - Brown & Crouppen
I.03.E - PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S EMAIL - sethw@getbc.com

EXHIBIT C

From: Ben Hulse

Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 2:32 PM

To: 'JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov>;

'Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov>

Cc: Jerry Blackwell <blackwell@blackwellburke.com>; Bridget Ahmann

<Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com>; 'gzimmerman@meshbesh.com'

<gzimmerman@meshbesh.com>; 'bgordon@levinlaw.com' <bgordon@levinlaw.com>;

'MVC@ciresiconlin.com' <MVC@ciresiconlin.com>; 'JMC@CiresiConlin.com' <JMC@CiresiConlin.com>;

'David J. Szerlag' <david@pritzkerlaw.com>; 'Wendy Thayer' <wendy@pritzkerlaw.com>

Subject: Bair Hugger, MDL 2666 -- Defendants' lists of PFS disputes for April 2018

Dear Judge Ericksen,

Even though this month's status conference has been cancelled, Defendants would still like to list cases with Plaintiff Fact Sheet disputes, as provided by PTO 14, paragraph 7, Dkt. No. 117.

In the past, the Court ordered that PFS disputes were deemed "addressed to the Court" notwithstanding the cancellation of the in-court conference. (E.g., Dkt. No. 959.) Defendants request the same for this month.

Best regards,

Ben Hulse

Counsel for Defendants

Benjamin W. Hulse
Blackwell Burke P.A.
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500
Minneapolis, MN 55415
Direct (612) 343-3256
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets

(Updated April 13, 2018)*

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04637-JNE-FLN	Mackey, Carolyn v. 3M Company et al	10/11/2017	1/9/2018	2/6/2018 03/06/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04642-JNE-FLN	Smith, Diana et al v. 3M Company et al	10/11/2017	1/9/2018	2/6/2018 03/06/2018	The Miller Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04651-JNE-FLN	Knight, Kyle v. 3M Company et al	11/29/2017	1/9/2018	2/6/2018 03/06/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04652-JNE-FLN	Leaf, Loretta v. 3M Company et al	10/11/2017	1/9/2018	2/6/2018 03/06/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04775-JNE-FLN	Reinhardt, Rhonda v. 3M Company et al	10/23/2017	1/21/2018	2/6/2018 03/06/2018	Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05302-JNE-FLN	Kelley, Charles v. 3M Company et al	11/30/2017	2/28/2018		Kitland & Packard, LLP
0:17-cv-05318-JNE-FLN	Wilkinson, Deborah v. 3M Company et al	12/1/2018	3/10/2018		Kennedy Hodges, LLP
0:17-cv-05342-JNE-FLN	Bozeman, Lynda v. 3M Company et al	12/4/2017	3/4/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05348-JNE-FLN	Wilmer, Roxanne v. 3M Company et al	12/5/2017	3/5/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-05375-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Ronnie v. 3M Company et al	12/7/2017	3/7/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05458-JNE-FLN	Lake, Herbert v. 3M Company et al	12/15/2017	3/15/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05469-JNE-FLN	Minnigan-Judd, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	12/18/2017	3/18/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:17-cv-05472-JNE-FLN	Jones, Denise v. 3M Company et al	12/18/2017	3/18/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-05477-JNE-FLN	Shepard, Andrew v. 3M Company et al	12/18/2017	3/18/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
*On April 12, Plaintiffs' counsel alerted Defendants that PFSs in forty cases, going back to 2016, had not been properly served in the portal. These PFSs were loaded onto the portal on April 11, 2018, but show prior (failed) upload dates. Defendants have made every effort to incorporate these PFSs in the current lists, but some of these PFSs may not be reflected.					

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting Indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets

(Updated April 13, 2018)*

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<u>0:17-cv-05496-JNE-FLN</u>	Copeland, Donna v. 3M Company et al	12/19/2017	3/19/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-05506-JNE-FLN</u>	Hernandez, William v. 3M Company et al	12/20/2017	3/20/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-05513-JNE-FLN</u>	Woodman, Donna v. 3M Company et al	12/20/2017	3/20/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-05514-JNE-FLN</u>	Jones, James v. 3M Company et al	12/20/2017	3/20/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-05515-JNE-FLN</u>	Gates, Kathy v. 3M Company et al	12/20/2017	3/20/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-05547-JNE-FLN</u>	Scull, Michael v. 3M Company et al	12/22/2017	3/22/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-05550-JNE-FLN</u>	Bishop, Alma v. 3M Company et al	12/22/2017	3/22/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
<u>0:18-cv-00032-JNE-FLN</u>	DeArman, Lori v. 3M Company et al	1/4/2018	4/4/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<u>0:18-cv-00036-JNE-FLN</u>	Odom, Sandra v. 3M Company et al	1/5/2018	4/5/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
<u>0:18-cv-00063-JNE-FLN</u>	Morgan, Zachary v. 3M Company et al	1/9/2018	4/9/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
<u>0:18-cv-00064-JNE-FLN</u>	Garvin, Leah v. 3M Company et al	1/9/2018	4/9/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
<u>0:18-cv-00067-JNE-FLN</u>	Carlson, Glenn v. 3M Company et al	1/9/2018	4/9/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<u>0:17-cv-04068-JNE-FLN</u>	Johnson, Barbara v. 3M Company et al	12/27/2017	1/17/2018	2/6/2018 03/09/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03311-JNE-FLN</u>	McDaniel v. 3M Company et al	11/21/2017	12/12/2017	01/10/2018 02/06/2018 03/09/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03496-JNE-FLN</u>	Aker, Mary v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	2/2/2018	3/9/2018	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
<u>0:17-cv-03547-JNE-FLN</u>	Sundquist v. 3M Company et al	11/29/2017	12/20/2017	01/10/2018 02/06/2018 03/09/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03809-JNE-FLN</u>	Miller v. 3M Company et al	12/12/2017	1/2/2018	01/10/2018 02/06/2018 03/09/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-04537-JNE-FLN</u>	Echard, Audrey et al v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	2/20/2018	3/9/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<u>0:17-cv-04623-JNE-FLN</u>	Guobadia, Maxine v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	3/9/2018	The Webster Law Firm
<u>0:17-cv-04302-JNE-FLN</u>	Tilley, William v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2018	2/28/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
<u>0:17-cv-04375-JNE-FLN</u>	Baker, David v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	3/7/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-04429-JNE-FLN</u>	Holcomb, April v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2018	2/28/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
<u>0:17-cv-04512-JNE-FLN</u>	Cunningham, Mary v. 3M Company et al	2/12/2018	3/5/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-04716-JNE-FLN</u>	Bewley, Kenneth v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018		Kirtland and Packard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-04857-JNE-FLN</u>	Murphy, Bennie v 3M et al	3/19/2018	4/9/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-04877-JNE-FLN</u>	McDonald, Ethel v 3M et al	2/21/2018	3/14/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-04896-JNE-FLN</u>	Pew, Richard v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-04984-JNE-FLN</u>	Cheney, Jay v. 3M Company et al	3/12/2018	4/2/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
<u>0:17-cv-05021-JNE-FLN</u>	Fair, Randy v. 3M Company et al	3/8/2018	3/29/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP

served in the portal. These PFSs were loaded onto the portal on April 11, 2018, but show prior (failed) upload dates. Defendants have made every effort to incorporate these PFSs in the current lists, but some of these PFSs may not be reflected.

CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc. 1275-1 Filed 06/07/18 Page 36 of 49
Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated April 13, 2018)*

<u>0:17-cv-05135-JNE-FLN</u>	Carrell, Silas v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-05138-JNE-FLN</u>	Johnson, Hannah v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-05140-JNE-FLN</u>	Green, Rosemary v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-05199-JNE-FLN</u>	Spry, John et al v. 3M Company et al	3/15/2018	4/5/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-05202-JNE-FLN</u>	Reed, Tommy et al v. 3M Company et al	3/19/2018	4/9/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<u>0:17-cv-02372-JNE-FLN</u>	Hufford v. 3M Company et al	12/6/2017	12/27/2017	01/10/2018 02/06/2018 03/09/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
<u>0:17-cv-02758-JNE-FLN</u>	Jones v. 3M Company et al	12/27/2017	1/17/2018	2/6/2018 03/09/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03543-JNE-FLN</u>	Hughes, James v. 3M Company et al	1/16/2018	2/6/2018	2/6/2018 03/09/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03747-JNE-FLN</u>	Jackson, Deloise v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	2/20/2018	3/9/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
<u>0:17-cv-03781-JNE-FLN</u>	Buttram, Trude v. 3M Company et al	1/26/2018	2/16/2018	3/9/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03824-JNE-FLN</u>	Henry, Lawrence v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	2/20/2018	3/9/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03848-JNE-FLN</u>	Boughner, Roland v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	3/9/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03963-JNE-FLN</u>	Jones, Rose v. 3M Company et al	2/6/2018	2/27/2018	3/9/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-04432-JNE-FLN</u>	Egdorf, Arlan v. 3M Company	2/7/2018	2/28/2018	3/9/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<u>0:17-cv-01968-JNE-FLN</u>	Jordan, Teresa v. 3M Company et al	1/9/2018	1/30/2018	2/6/2018 03/09/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-02114-JNE-FLN</u>	Harms, Bobby v. 3M Company et al	1/17/2018	2/7/2018	3/9/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-02534-JNE-FLN</u>	Duran, Connie v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	3/9/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting Indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

0:17-cv-03022-JNE-FLN	Hoyos, Teresa v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	03/07/018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03806-JNE-FLN	Barker, Dennis v. 3M Company et al	3/19/2018	4/9/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed on one or two status conference agendas per PTO 14 (D.E. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated April 13, 2018)*

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<u>0:17-cv-00288-JNE-FLN</u>	Bradford, Linda v. 3M Company et al	9/29/2017	02/06/2018 03/06/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
<u>0:17-cv-01476-JNE-FLN</u>	Behney, Virginia v. 3M Company et al	12/6/2017	02/06/2018 03/06/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-01554-JNE-FLN</u>	Dorsey, Alma v. 3M Company	11/29/2017	02/06/2018 03/06/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty & Proctor, P.A
<u>0:17-cv-01565-JNE-FLN</u>	Little, Arlene v. 3M Company	11/29/2017	02/06/2018 03/06/2018	Levin, Papantonio, Thomas, Mitchell, Rafferty, & Proctor, P.A.
<u>0:17-cv-01093-JNE-FLN</u>	Galbreath et al v. 3M Company et al	12/11/2017	02/06/2018 03/06/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
<u>0:17-cv-02949-JNE-FLN</u>	Jelks, Venice v. 3M Company et al	12/11/2017	01/10/2018 02/06/2018 03/06/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-02959-JNE-FLN</u>	Josephs, Robert v. 3M Company et al	12/11/2017	01/10/2018 02/06/2018 03/06/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-02737-JNE-FLN</u>	Witherspoon, Richard v. 3M Company et al	1/16/2018	02/06/2018 03/06/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
<u>0:17-cv-01494-JNE-FLN</u>	Six, John v. 3M Company et al	2/2/2018	3/6/2018	Hendrickson Law
<u>0:16-cv-00787-JNE-FLN</u>	Abrams v. 3M Company et al	4/19/2017		Thering & Associates, PLLC
<u>0:16-cv-03618-JNE-FLN</u>	Messner-Katzer v. 3M Company et al	5/22/2017		Capretz & Associates
<u>0:17-cv-02230-JNE-FLN</u>	Johnson, Buddy v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018		Capretz & Associates
<u>0:17-cv-02251-JNE-FLN</u>	Brewer, Donna v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018		Capretz & Associates
<u>0:17-cv-02288-JNE-FLN</u>	Anderson, Ruth et al v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2018		Brent Coon & Associates
<u>0:17-cv-02638-JNE-FLN</u>	Reinker, Susan v. 3M Company et al	2/6/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P
<u>0:17-cv-02901-JNE-FLN</u>	Moore, Michael v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
<u>0:17-cv-03049-JNE-FLN</u>	Finney, Gwendolyn v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C

*On April 12, Plaintiffs' counsel alerted Defendants that PFSs in forty cases, going back to 2016, had not been properly served in the portal. These PFSs were loaded onto the portal on April 11, 2018, but show prior (failed) upload dates. Defendants have made every effort to incorporate these PFSs in the current lists, but some of these PFSs may not be reflected.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting Indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated April 13, 2018)*

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
<u>0:17-cv-03055-JNE-FLN</u>	Harper, Joel et al v. 3M Company et al	2/12/2018		Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
<u>0:17-cv-03162-JNE-FLN</u>	Zamora, Arturo Jr. v. 3M Company et al	3/8/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C
<u>0:17-cv-03166-JNE-FLN</u>	Howard, Roberta v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-03255-JNE-FLN</u>	Holmes, Peter et al v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-03276-JNE-FLN</u>	Andrews, Larry (Price, Summer) v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-03294-JNE-FLN</u>	Hawkins, William v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-03305-JNE-FLN</u>	Brainerd, Jeremiah et al v. 3M Company et al	2/26/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-03533-JNE-FLN</u>	Holcomb, Virginia v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-03535-JNE-FLN</u>	Finn, Keith et al v. 3M Company et al	2/20/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-03662-JNE-FLN</u>	Guyton, Sharida v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C
<u>0:17-cv-03788-JNE-FLN</u>	Delena, Cheryl et al v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017		Meyerkord & Meyerkord, LLC
<u>0:17-cv-04805-JNE-FLN</u>	Beck, Louis v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-04825-JNE-FLN</u>	Duckworth, Laura v. 3M Company et al	2/20/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-04869-JNE-FLN</u>	Piscopo, Joseph v. 3M Company et al	2/20/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-04880-JNE-FLN</u>	Scott, Sheree v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-04892-JNE-FLN</u>	Thyrion, Leslie v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
<u>0:17-cv-04934-JNE-FLN</u>	Hall, Martha v. 3M Company et al	2/27/2018		Justinian & Associates PLLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting Indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

EXHIBIT D

From: Ben Hulse

Sent: Saturday, May 12, 2018 8:33 AM

To: 'JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <JoanEricksen_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov>;

'Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov' <Noel_Chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov>

Cc: Jerry Blackwell <blackwell@blackwellburke.com>; Bridget Ahmann

<Bridget.Ahmann@FaegreBD.com>; 'gzimmerman@meshbeshher.com'

<gzimmerman@meshbeshher.com>; 'bgordon@levinlaw.com' <bgordon@levinlaw.com>;

'MVC@ciresiconlin.com' <MVC@ciresiconlin.com>; 'JMC@CiresiConlin.com' <JMC@CiresiConlin.com>;

'David J. Szerlag' <david@pritzkerlaw.com>; 'Wendy Thayer' <wendy@pritzkerlaw.com>

Subject: Bair Hugger, MDL 2666 -- Defendants' lists of PFS disputes for May 2018

Dear Judge Ericksen,

Even though this month's status conference has been cancelled, Defendants would still like to list cases with Plaintiff Fact Sheet disputes, as provided by PTO 14, paragraph 7, Dkt. No. 117.

In the past (including last month), the Court ordered that PFS disputes were deemed "addressed to the Court" notwithstanding the cancellation of the in-court conference. (E.g., Dkt. No. 959, 1222.) Defendants request the same for this month. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Best regards,

Ben Hulse

Counsel for Defendants

Benjamin W. Hulse
Blackwell Burke P.A.
431 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500
Minneapolis, MN 55415
Direct (612) 343-3256
Fax (612) 343-3205

This message and any attachments may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or are not authorized to receive for the recipient, you are hereby notified that dissemination, distribution or copying of this message and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. Thank you.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-05302-JNE-FLN	Kelley, Charles v. 3M Company et al	11/30/2017	2/28/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05342-JNE-FLN	Bozeman, Lynda v. 3M Company et al	12/4/2017	3/4/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges,
0:17-cv-05375-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Ronnie v. 3M Company et al	12/7/2017	3/7/2018	4/10/2018	Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:17-cv-05458-JNE-FLN	Lake, Herbert v. 3M Company et al	12/15/2017	3/15/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05469-JNE-FLN	Minnigan-Judd, Stephanie v. 3M Company et al	12/18/2017	3/18/2018	4/10/2018	The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:17-cv-05515-JNE-FLN	Gates, Kathy v. 3M Company et al	12/20/2017	3/20/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-01489-JNE-FLN	Lawhon, Bobbie v. 3M Company et al.	5/5/2017	5/3/2018		Hollis Legal Solutions, PLLC
0:17-cv-04872-JNE-FLN	Grimsley, Daniel v. 3M Company et al.	10/26/2017	1/24/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-05581-JNE-FLN	Berzsenyi, Robert v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018		Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:17-cv-05589-JNE-FLN	Bardwell, Doris v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	3/29/2018		Law Offices of Charles H Johnson, PA
0:18-cv-00071-JNE-FLN	Mayfield, Antoine v. 3M Company et al	1/10/2018	4/10/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00076-JNE-FLN	Kurbis, Melanie v. 3M Company et al	1/10/2018	4/10/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00078-JNE-FLN	Gottfried, Arthur v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00079-JNE-FLN	Burza, John v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00080-JNE-FLN	Harris, Jimmie Don v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/11/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00093-JNE-FLN	Ashley, Ruth v. 3M Company et al	1/12/2018	4/12/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00148-JNE-FLN	Lewis, Steven et al v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018		Chappell, Smith and Arden, P.A.
0:18-cv-00151-JNE-FLN	Russell, Janice v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00154-JNE-FLN	Coleman, James v. 3M Company et al	1/22/2018	4/22/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00178-JNE-FLN	Paul, Rodney v. 3M Company et al	1/23/2018	4/23/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00184-JNE-FLN	Proffit, Connie v. 3M Company et al	1/23/2018	4/23/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00190-JNE-FLN	Lloyd, Danny v. 3M Company et al	1/24/2018	4/24/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00205-JNE-FLN	Shulz, Shelley v. 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00207-JNE-FLN	Guenther, Gary v 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00220-JNE-FLN	Brasher, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/25/2018	4/25/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00239-JNE-FLN	Hill, Tahia v. 3M Company et al	1/26/2018	4/26/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00249-JNE-FLN	Glasscock, Elizabeth v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:18-cv-00257-JNE-FLN	Patrick, Marvin v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00263-JNE-FLN	Robinson, Michael v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00264-JNE-FLN	Wega, Salvadore v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		The Law offices of Travis R. Walker, P.A.
0:18-cv-00265-JNE-FLN	Sawyer, William v. 3M Company et al	1/29/2018	4/29/2018		Davis & Crump, P.C.
0:18-cv-00274-JNE-FLN	Malone, Brenda v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 1: Overdue Plaintiff Fact Sheets
(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	Date Filed	Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:18-cv-00275-JNE-FLN	Owens, Janis v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:18-cv-00276-JNE-FLN	Travis, Joseph v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	4/30/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:18-cv-00292-JNE-FLN	Matson, Charles v. 3M Company et al	2/1/2018	5/2/2018		Meshbesher & Spence
0:18-cv-00318-JNE-FLN	Amador, George v. 3M Company et al	2/2/2018	5/3/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	1st Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03496-JNE-FLN	Aker, Mary v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	2/1/2018	03/09/2018 04/10/2018	McGlynn, Glisson and Mouton
0:17-cv-04537-JNE-FLN	Echard, Audrey et al v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	2/20/2018	03/09/2018 04/10/2018	Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04623-JNE-FLN	Guobadia, Maxine v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	3/9/2018	The Webster Law Firm
0:17-cv-04429-JNE-FLN	Holcomb, April v. 3M Company et al	2/7/2018	2/28/2018	4/10/2018	DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-04716-JNE-FLN	Bewley, Kenneth v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland and Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04896-JNE-FLN	Pew, Richard v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-04984-JNE-FLN	Cheney, Jay v. 3M Company et al	3/12/2018	4/2/2018	4/10/2018	Kirtland & Packard LLP
0:17-cv-05135-JNE-FLN	Carrell, Silas v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05138-JNE-FLN	Johnson, Hannah v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05140-JNE-FLN	Green, Rosemary v. 3M Company et al	3/13/2018	4/3/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05199-JNE-FLN	Spry, John et al v. 3M Company et al	3/15/2018	4/5/2018	4/10/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05202-JNE-FLN	Reed, Tommy et al v. 3M Company et al	3/19/2018	4/9/2018	4/10/2018	Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-04285-JNE-FLN	Nickell, Vernon et al v. 3M Company et al	1/11/2018	4/8/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-05083-JNE-FLN	Meredith, Benjamin v. 3M Company et al	4/12/2018	5/3/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-05200-JNE-FLN	Picuri, David v. 3M Company et al	3/22/2018	4/12/2018		Pendley, Baudin & Coffin L.L.P.
0:17-cv-05323-JNE-FLN	Richey, Priscilla v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).
Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated May 11, 2018)

0:17-cv-05405-JNE-FLN	Perkins, Kristopher v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018		Hausfeld LLP
0:17-cv-05435-JNE-FLN	Echols, Kevin v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018	5/2/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
Case Number	Title	2nd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-03747-JNE-FLN	Jackson, Deloise v. 3M Company et al	1/30/2018	2/20/2018	3/9/2018 4/10/2018	Schlichter Bogard & Denton, LLP
0:17-cv-03848-JNE-FLN	Boughner, Roland v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	3/9/2018 4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-02524-JNE-FLN	Koors, Audrey v. 3M Company et al	2/8/2018	3/1/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03014-JNE-FLN	Jenkins obo Gwendolyn Jensen v. 3M Company et al	12/29/2017	1/19/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03616-JNE-FLN	Hoerbert, Darlene v. 3M Company et al	2/21/2018	3/14/2018		Johnson Becker, PLLC
0:17-cv-03629-JNE-FLN	Ciccione, Louis v. 3M Company et al	1/17/2018	2/7/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03899-JNE-FLN	Pimentel, Carlos v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	3/21/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-03912-JNE-FLN	Kellett, Jackson v. 3M Company et al	4/16/2018	5/7/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03941-JNE-FLN	Martinez, Rodney v. 3M Company et al	3/8/2018	3/29/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-04467-JNE-FLN	Adams, Artis v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04476-JNE-FLN	Holstine, Rachel v. 3M Company et al	3/29/2018	4/19/2018		Bernstein Liebhard LLP
0:17-cv-04643-JNE-FLN	Pusateri, Marianne et al v. 3M Company et al	3/21/2018	4/11/2018		The Miller Firm, LLC
0:17-cv-04703-JNE-FLN	Betts, Marilyn v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018		Peterson & Associates, P.C.
Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Notice Sent	Response Due Date	Prior Listing	Firm Name

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 2: Plaintiffs Who Have Not Responded to Defendants' Deficiency Notices
(Updated May 11, 2018)

0:17-cv-02534-JNE-FLN	Duran, Connie v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	2/26/2018	03/09/2018 04/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03022-JNE-FLN	Hoyos, Teresa v. 3M Company et al	2/14/2018	3/7/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03464-JNE-FLN	Witt, Alan v. 3M Company et al	4/5/2018	4/26/2018		Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03554-JNE-FLN	Cyr, Kevin v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018	5/2/2018		DeGaris & Rogers, LLC
0:17-cv-03964-JNE-FLN	Taylor, Whitney and Pat et al v. 3M Company et al	3/27/2018	4/17/2018		Brent Coon & Associates
0:17-cv-04169-JNE-FLN	Hurley, Jonathan v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/23/2018		The Olinde Firm, LLC

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-01494-JNE-FLN	Six, John v. 3M Company et al	2/5/2018	03/09/2018 04/10/2018	Hendrickson Law
0:17-cv-02638-JNE-FLN	Reinker, Susan v. 3M Company et al	4/4/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-02901-JNE-FLN	Moore, Michael v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018	4/10/2018	Kennedy Hodges, L.L.P.
0:17-cv-03049-JNE-FLN	Finney, Gwendolyn v. 3M Company et al	3/6/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03162-JNE-FLN	Zamora, Arturo Jr. v. 3M Company et al	3/8/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-03255-JNE-FLN	Holmes, Peter et al v. 3M Company et al	3/14/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-03305-JNE-FLN	Brainerd, Jeremiah et al v. 3M Company et al	2/26/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-03533-JNE-FLN	Holcomb, Virginia v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-03662-JNE-FLN	Guyton, Sharida v. 3M Company et al	3/14/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C
0:17-cv-04805-JNE-FLN	Beck, Louis v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04825-JNE-FLN	Duckworth, Laura v. 3M Company et al	3/9/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04880-JNE-FLN	Scott, Sheree v. 3M Company et al	2/28/2017	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04892-JNE-FLN	Thyrion, Leslie v. 3M Company et al	3/7/2018	4/10/2018	Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04934-JNE-FLN	Hall, Martha v. 3M Company et al	2/27/2018	4/10/2018	Justinian & Associates PLLC
0:17-cv-03414-JNE-FLN	Towsley, Joe v. 3M Company et al	4/11/2018		Sexton & Shelor
0:17-cv-03921-JNE-FLN	Benson, Robert v. 3M Company et al	3/29/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04041-JNE-FLN	Gibson, Lisa Karen v. 3M Company et al	4/2/2018		Brown and Crouppen, P.C.
0:17-cv-04230-JNE-FLN	Metivier, Gwendylen v. 3M Company et al	4/18/2018		Kirtland & Packard LLP

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.

Defendants' PFS List 3: Core Deficiencies Remained Following Notice and Response

(Updated May 11, 2018)

Case Number	Title	3rd Deficiency Sent	Prior Listing	Firm Name
0:17-cv-04334-JNE-FLN	Zeppetella, Steven et al v. 3M Company et al	4/9/2018		Gustafson Gluek PLLC
0:17-cv-04402-JNE-FLN	Weiss, Adam v. 3M Company et al	4/9/2018		Jones Ward PLC

Yellow highlighting indicates that the case was previously listed per PTO 14 (Dkt. No. 270).

Pink highlighting indicates that the case is subject to Defendants' Pending Motion to Dismiss.